Advances in Autonomous Vehicles for Energy-Efficient and Sustainable Transportation (2025), **10**, 13–24

ORIGINALRESEARCH

Hybrid Aerial-Vehicular Data Integration for Enhanced Road Assessment and Adaptive Maneuvering in GPS-Denied Spaces

Gabriel Henrique Santos Lima† and Carrie Vander Peterson‡

†Instituto de Tecnologia do Ceará, Faculdade de Engenharia de Computação, Avenida Dom Luís, Aldeota, Fortaleza, Ceará
‡Researcher at Universidad Unive

Abstract

The integration of hybrid aerial and vehicular systems has emerged as a promising solution for enhanced road assessment and adaptive maneuvering in GPS-denied environments. Traditional road monitoring systems face challenges in dynamic environments where reliable geospatial data is unavailable, requiring advanced methods for data collection and analysis. This research proposes a novel framework combining unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) and ground vehicles to synergize sensor capabilities, improve situational awareness, and enable adaptive navigation. UAVs are equipped with LiDAR, high-resolution cameras, and inertial measurement units (IMUs), while ground vehicles utilize radar, ultrasonic sensors, and odometry for complementary data collection. A data fusion algorithm is developed to integrate aerial and vehicular sensor streams, utilizing simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM) to construct precise road models. Key challenges addressed include robust real-time data synchronization, multi-modal sensor fusion, and environmental noise filtering. The proposed framework is tested in GPS-denied settings, including urban canyons and dense forested areas, demonstrating its ability to detect road anomalies, optimize vehicular trajectories, and ensure safe navigation. Results show that hybrid data integration significantly improves road feature recognition by 28% and reduces maneuvering errors by 35% compared to vehicle-only systems. This study highlights the potential of hybrid systems to redefine road assessment and maneuvering strategies in complex environments, advancing the state of autonomous navigation.

Keywords: autonomous navigation, data fusion, GPS-denied environments, hybrid systems, road assessment, SLAM, UAVs

1. Introduction

Autonomous navigation in road assessment and maneuvering has become an area of extensive research and practical interest due to rapid advancements in sensing technologies, artificial intelligence, and robotics. This domain aims to address the increasingly complex challenges posed by modern transportation systems, particularly those encountered in GPS-denied environments such as urban canyons, tunnels, dense forests, and mountainous regions. These scenarios exacerbate the limitations of traditional navigation systems, which depend heavily on continuous access to satellite-based positioning. Consequently, achieving robust and reliable navigation in such environments remains a critical objective for researchers and practitioners. Ground-based vehicles, while equipped with advanced sensing modalities such as LiDAR, cameras, and radar, often struggle to maintain an accurate and comprehensive perception of their surroundings, leading to suboptimal decisions and compromises in safety and operational efficiency (Xie, Low, and He 2017).

To overcome these challenges, hybrid systems integrating aerial and ground-based vehicles have emerged as a promising approach, leveraging the complementary capabilities of these platforms to achieve more robust environmental perception and adaptive decision-making. Aerial vehicles, commonly referred to as unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) or drones (Bhat and Venkitaraman 2024), offer several advantages that significantly augment the navigational capabilities of ground vehicles. Equipped with sophisticated sensors such as high-resolution cameras, LiDAR, and inertial measurement units (IMUs), UAVs provide a wide-area, bird's-eye view of the environment. This high-level perspective is particularly advantageous for detecting road conditions, identifying obstacles, and mapping complex terrains. Additionally, UAVs can operate in dynamic environments, collecting data from otherwise inaccessible or hazardous locations, thereby extending the spatial and temporal coverage of the system.

Ground vehicles, on the other hand, are well-suited for tasks requiring close-proximity sensing and localized precision. Technologies such as radar, ultrasonic sensors, and odometry enable ground vehicles to navigate with high accuracy, detect fine-grained details such as surface anomalies, and maintain situational awareness in real time. By integrating data from aerial and ground-based platforms, hybrid systems capitalize on the strengths of both modalities, enabling enhanced situational awareness, improved road assessment, and superior navigation performance in challenging scenarios. The fusion of data collected by UAVs and ground vehicles creates a comprehensive environmental model, facilitating tasks such as road surface evaluation, obstacle classification, and anomaly detection.

The integration of aerial and ground-based systems introduces a range of technical challenges and opportunities. A key challenge lies in achieving effective communication and data synchronization between the aerial and ground components. This involves designing robust algorithms for sensor data fusion, where information from diverse modalities—such as LiDAR point clouds, camera images, and radar signals—must be seamlessly combined into a unified representation. Furthermore, the real-time requirements of autonomous navigation necessitate efficient computation and low-latency processing of sensor data, which can be computationally demanding due to the high volume and complexity of information captured by UAVs and ground vehicles.

Another important consideration is the development of navigation strategies that leverage the distinct advantages of hybrid systems. For instance, UAVs can be deployed to scout ahead, generating a high-level map of the environment that guides the ground vehicle's path planning and obstacle avoidance. Conversely, the ground vehicle's localized sensing can provide feedback to the UAV, refining its trajectory and enabling adaptive decision-making. This bidirectional exchange of information underscores the importance of designing collaborative frameworks that maximize the synergies between aerial and ground platforms.

To illustrate the potential of hybrid systems, consider a road assessment scenario in a GPS-denied urban canyon. In this environment, traditional ground-based navigation systems may encounter difficulties due to multipath signal reflections and occlusions caused by tall buildings. By deploying a UAV equipped with LiDAR and cameras, a high-resolution map of the canyon can be constructed, capturing features such as road geometry, building outlines, and potential obstacles. This map can then be transmitted to the ground vehicle, allowing it to navigate with greater confidence and precision. Simultaneously, the ground vehicle's sensors can provide detailed observations of road surface conditions, such as potholes, cracks, and debris, which the UAV may not be able to discern from its elevated vantage point. The integration of these observations enables a holistic understanding of the environment, supporting safer and more efficient navigation.

The adoption of hybrid aerial-vehicular systems also has significant implications for anomaly detection and infrastructure monitoring. In regions prone to natural disasters such as floods, landslides, or earthquakes, UAVs can be rapidly deployed to survey affected areas and identify damaged infrastructure, while ground vehicles can conduct close-up inspections to assess the severity of damage. Similarly, in forested environments, UAVs can map trails and detect fallen trees, guiding ground vehicles engaged in rescue or maintenance operations. These applications demonstrate the versatility and transformative potential of hybrid systems in addressing a wide range of challenges associated with autonomous navigation (Ahrens et al. 2009).

As research and development in this field continue to advance, the integration of UAVs and ground vehicles is expected to play an increasingly prominent role in shaping the future of transportation and robotics. By leveraging the complementary strengths of these platforms, hybrid systems have the potential to redefine the capabilities of autonomous navigation, enabling safer, more efficient, and more reliable operations in even the most challenging environments (Farahani, Shouraki, and Dastjerdi 2023). The subsequent sections of this work delve into the technical underpinnings of hybrid systems, exploring topics such as sensor fusion methodologies, collaborative path planning, and real-world applications. To provide a comprehensive understanding, the discussion is supported by empirical studies, theoretical analyses, and comparative evaluations, underscoring the transformative impact of hybrid aerial-vehicular systems on autonomous navigation.

This paper proposes a hybrid aerial-vehicular data integration framework for enhanced road assessment and adaptive maneuvering in GPS-denied spaces. Specifically, it focuses on the development of a robust data fusion algorithm that integrates multi-modal sensor data to generate precise road models and enable real-time adaptive maneuvering. The key contributions of this work include: (1) the design of a hybrid system architecture for collaborative sensing, (2) a data fusion algorithm for multi-modal sensor integration, and (3) validation of the framework through experimental evaluations in simulated and real-world GPS-denied environments. By addressing the challenges of real-time data synchronization, sensor noise, and SLAM optimization, this study seeks to advance the capabilities of autonomous navigation systems (Cheng et al. 2023).

2. Hybrid System Architecture

The proposed hybrid system architecture aims to address the challenges of road assessment and adaptive maneuvering by leveraging the complementary sensing capabilities of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) and ground vehicles. This system is designed as a highly integrated framework with three principal components: aerial sensing modules, vehicular sensing modules, and a centralized data processing unit. Each module is purposefully developed to optimize its role, ensuring seamless collaboration for efficient data acquisition, robust processing, and effective utilization. This section elaborates on the architecture, emphasizing the technical details and functionality of each module.

2.1 Aerial Sensing Module

The aerial sensing module serves as the primary tool for large-scale, high-resolution environmental monitoring. UAVs in this module are equipped with advanced sensors, including LiDAR, high-resolution cameras, and Inertial Measurement Units (IMUs), each contributing unique capabilities to enhance the overall sensing accuracy.

LiDAR sensors play a pivotal role by generating dense point cloud data, which allows for the precise three-dimensional (3D) mapping of road geometry and surrounding infrastructure. This 3D mapping capability is critical for detecting road curvature, inclines, and obstacles, facilitating comprehensive situational awareness. The high-resolution cameras complement LiDAR by providing detailed visual imagery for analyzing the road surface (Farahani et al. 2024). These cameras are particularly effective in identifying surface-level details such as cracks, potholes, and texture variations, which are indicative of structural health and maintenance requirements. The integration of IMUs ensures accurate motion tracking of UAVs during flight, enabling precise stabilization and alignment of the aerial data with the ground-based observations (Mebarki, Lippiello, and Siciliano 2015).

The UAVs are programmed with autonomous flight capabilities, utilizing waypoint-based navigation systems to achieve systematic and thorough coverage of the target area. This autonomy reduces operational complexity while ensuring that no critical regions are left unsurveyed. Furthermore, the

UAVs can dynamically adapt their flight paths based on real-time feedback, such as obstacle detection or changes in environmental conditions, enhancing their operational efficiency and resilience in diverse terrains.

2.2 Vehicular Sensing Module

The vehicular sensing module complements the aerial sensing capabilities by providing ground-level detail and continuity in data collection. Ground vehicles are equipped with an array of sensors, including radar, ultrasonic sensors, and wheel odometry systems, each tailored to address specific challenges in road assessment.

Radar systems are utilized for detecting obstacles and measuring relative distances to objects in the vicinity of the vehicle. This capability is essential for navigating through environments with dense traffic or complex road geometries. Ultrasonic sensors provide high-resolution, fine-grained measurements of road surface irregularities, capturing features such as bumps, small depressions, and uneven terrain. These sensors enable the system to detect micro-level anomalies that might not be visible through aerial imaging.

The wheel odometry system, in combination with onboard IMUs, ensures accurate positional estimates, even in GPS-denied environments (Bhat and Kavasseri 2024). The odometry system measures the rotation of vehicle wheels to calculate distance traveled, while the IMU tracks the vehicle's orientation and acceleration. Together, these systems enable precise localization and mapping, which are crucial for ensuring the continuity and accuracy of data collected by the hybrid system. The ground vehicles are also equipped with autonomous navigation capabilities, allowing them to follow optimized trajectories that are dynamically generated based on aerial observations. This coordinated operation between UAVs and ground vehicles ensures a comprehensive and efficient survey of the road network (Bachrach et al. 2011).

2.3 Centralized Data Processing Unit

At the heart of the hybrid system architecture lies the centralized data processing unit, responsible for integrating and analyzing the data streams from both aerial and vehicular sensing modules. This unit employs advanced algorithms for data fusion, localization, and feature classification, transforming raw sensor data into actionable insights.

The data fusion process involves the integration of multiple data types, such as LiDAR point clouds, high-resolution images, radar signals, and odometry measurements, into a unified environmental model. By combining these data sources, the system mitigates the limitations of individual sensors, achieving higher accuracy and robustness in road assessment. A key aspect of the data fusion process is the implementation of Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM) techniques, which allow the system to continuously update its environmental model in dynamic and changing conditions. SLAM ensures that the hybrid system maintains precise localization of both UAVs and ground vehicles while simultaneously generating accurate maps of the surveyed areas.

In addition to SLAM, the centralized processing unit leverages machine learning algorithms to analyze the integrated data. These algorithms are trained to classify road features, such as lane markings, guardrails, and curbs, and to detect anomalies, including cracks, potholes, and debris. The insights derived from this analysis are used to generate recommendations for adaptive maneuvering, enabling real-time responses to changing road conditions. For instance, if the system detects a hazardous obstacle, it can adjust the planned trajectory of the ground vehicle to ensure safety. Furthermore, the centralized processing unit supports post-mission analysis, allowing detailed assessments of road conditions and the generation of maintenance schedules.

Table 1. Sensor Specifications in Aerial and Vehicular Modules

Sensor Type	Aerial Module (UAVs)	Vehicular Module (Ground Vehicles)
LiDAR	Dense point cloud generation for 3D mapping	Not applicable
High-Resolution Cameras	Visual imagery for crack detection and texture analysis	Not applicable
IMUs	Motion tracking and data alignment	Positional tracking and orientation measurement
Radar	Not applicable	Obstacle detection and relative distance measurement
Ultrasonic Sensors	Not applicable	Fine-grained detection of road surface irregularities
Wheel Odometry	Not applicable	Distance measurement and localization in GPS-denied environments

2.4 System Integration and Communication Framework

The hybrid system architecture is underpinned by a robust integration and communication framework that facilitates seamless data exchange between the aerial and vehicular modules and the centralized processing unit. This framework employs a multi-layered communication protocol, combining high-bandwidth wireless communication for UAV-ground vehicle interaction and low-latency wired connections within ground vehicles.

UAVs communicate with the centralized unit via a dedicated wireless channel, transmitting LiDAR data, high-resolution images, and IMU readings in real time. Similarly, ground vehicles relay radar, ultrasonic, and odometry data to the centralized unit. The system incorporates data buffering and prioritization mechanisms to ensure that critical information, such as obstacle detections or localization updates, is transmitted without delay.

To ensure reliability, the communication framework employs redundancy measures, such as dual-channel communication links, which provide fail-safe mechanisms in case of network disruptions. Additionally, the system uses data compression techniques to minimize bandwidth usage without compromising data quality. These features enable the hybrid system to maintain real-time responsiveness, even in environments with limited network infrastructure (Lu et al. 2022).

Table 2. Comparison of Data Processing Algorithms

Algorithm Type	Application in the Hybrid System	Advantages
Data Fusion Algorithms	Integrating LiDAR, camera, radar, and odometry data into a unified model	Enhances accuracy and reduces sensor-specific limitations
SLAM (Simultaneous Localization and Mapping)	Continuous localization and environmental mapping in dynamic conditions	Maintains precision in both UAV and ground vehicle positioning
Machine Learning Algorithms	Classification of road features and anomaly detection	Enables automated and accurate identification of road defects
Data Compression Algorithms	Reducing bandwidth requirements during data transmission	Ensures efficient communication in limited-network environments (Bhat 2024)

2.5 System Advantages and Potential Applications

The hybrid system architecture provides several advantages over traditional approaches to road assessment and maneuvering. By combining the aerial perspective of UAVs with the detailed ground-level sensing of vehicles, the system achieves a level of comprehensiveness that is unattainable by either platform alone. This integrated approach allows for more accurate detection of road conditions, faster data collection, and improved responsiveness to changing environments.

Potential applications of this architecture extend beyond road assessment. It can be employed in disaster response scenarios for mapping damaged infrastructure, in urban planning for monitoring road usage and wear, and in autonomous driving systems for enhancing navigation and safety. Furthermore, the system's modular design ensures scalability and adaptability, making it suitable for deployment in a wide range of operational contexts.

In summary, the proposed hybrid system architecture represents a significant advancement in the field of intelligent sensing and autonomous systems. Its innovative integration of aerial and ground-based technologies, coupled with sophisticated data processing capabilities, provides a robust and versatile solution for road assessment and adaptive maneuvering.

3. Data Fusion and SLAM Optimization

A critical component of the proposed hybrid system is the development of a robust data fusion algorithm that integrates multi-modal sensor data from UAVs and ground vehicles. This section details the methods and mathematical frameworks employed for real-time data synchronization, sensor fusion, and SLAM optimization, ensuring accurate localization and a unified environmental model (Badshah et al. 2019).

3.1 Real-Time Data Synchronization

Synchronizing data from UAVs and ground vehicles is a non-trivial challenge due to differences in sensor modalities, sampling rates, and spatial perspectives. The proposed framework addresses this challenge using timestamp-based synchronization, aligning data streams from LiDAR, cameras, radar, and ultrasonic sensors to a shared temporal reference. Let the timestamp of sensor i at observation t be denoted by $T_i(t)$. A common global reference clock is defined as T_g, and each sensor's timestamp is aligned such that:

$$T_i'(t) = T_i(t) + \Delta T_i,$$

where ΔT_i is the time offset for sensor i relative to the global clock T_g. The corrected timestamps $T_i'(t)$ ensure that data from all sensors can be temporally aligned.

To handle discrepancies caused by different sampling rates, Kalman filtering is employed to interpolate missing observations and smooth noisy data. For a sensor measurement $\mathbf{z}_t$ at time t, the predicted state $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t|t-1}$ is calculated as:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t|t-1} = \mathbf{F}\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1|t-1} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}_t,$$

where $\mathbf{F}$ is the state transition model, $\mathbf{B}$ is the control input model, and $\mathbf{u}_t$ is the control vector. The Kalman filter then corrects the prediction using the measurement update:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t|t} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t|t-1} + \mathbf{K}_t \left(\mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{H}\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t|t-1}\right),$$

where $\mathbf{K}_t$ is the Kalman gain, and $\mathbf{H}$ is the measurement model. This process ensures temporal coherence in the multi-modal data streams, facilitating seamless fusion.

3.2 Multi-Modal Sensor Fusion

The multi-modal sensor fusion algorithm integrates data from LiDAR, cameras, radar, and ultrasonic sensors to construct a comprehensive environmental model. The fusion framework employs a probabilistic approach based on Bayesian inference to handle sensor uncertainties. The fused observation $\mathbf{z}_f$ at a given time is represented as:

$$\mathbf{z}_f = \arg\max_{\mathbf{z}} \prod_{i=1}^{N} P(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{z}_i, \sigma_i),$$

where $\mathbf{z}_i$ is the observation from sensor i, σ_i is the associated uncertainty, and N is the number of sensors. By maximizing the joint probability, the algorithm derives a reliable and robust estimate of the environment.

LiDAR point clouds provide the foundational data for constructing a detailed 3D model of the road and surrounding infrastructure. Let the set of LiDAR points be $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathbf{p}_j \in R^3 \mid j = 1, \ldots, M\}$, where M is the number of points. The point cloud is segmented into clusters using a density-based spatial clustering algorithm:

$$\text{Cluster}(\mathbf{p}_j) = \{\mathbf{p}_k \in \mathcal{P} \mid \|\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{p}_k\| < \epsilon\},$$

where ϵ is a distance threshold. This segmentation aids in identifying objects such as obstacles, curbs, and road boundaries.

Camera data enriches the point cloud with texture and color information. The mapping from a 3D LiDAR point $\mathbf{p}_j$ to a 2D camera pixel (u, v) is performed using the camera's intrinsic matrix $\mathbf{K}$ and extrinsic parameters $(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{t})$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathbf{K}\left(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{p}_j + \mathbf{t}\right).$$

Radar and ultrasonic sensors refine obstacle detection by providing complementary range and surface irregularity data. The combined output is a unified environmental model that captures both macro-scale geometry and micro-scale surface details.

3.3 SLAM Optimization

The SLAM module ensures precise localization and mapping in GPS-denied environments, employing a graph-based approach to minimize error accumulation. Let the SLAM pose graph be represented as $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is the set of poses $\mathbf{x}_i$ and $\mathcal{E}$ is the set of constraints between poses. Each edge $e_{ij} \in \mathcal{E}$ encodes the relative transformation between poses $\mathbf{x}_i$ and $\mathbf{x}_j$, modeled as:

$$e_{ij} = \mathbf{T}_{ij} + \mathbf{n}_{ij},$$

where $\mathbf{T}_{ij}$ is the ground truth transformation, and $\mathbf{n}_{ij}$ is the measurement noise. The goal of graph optimization is to minimize the following objective function:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V}) = \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{E}} \|\mathbf{T}_{ij} - (\mathbf{x}_i^{-1}\mathbf{x}_j)\|^2_{\Sigma_{ij}},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\Sigma_{ij}}$ denotes the Mahalanobis distance with covariance Σ_{ij}. This optimization is solved using nonlinear least squares methods, such as Gauss-Newton or Levenberg-Marquardt.

To enhance long-term consistency in large-scale maps, loop closure techniques are integrated. When revisiting a previously mapped location, the system identifies loop closure constraints by matching features between current and past observations. A geometric consistency check ensures that only valid matches contribute to the optimization (Doitsidis et al. 2012).

Semantic segmentation algorithms augment the SLAM system by providing contextual information about the environment. The segmentation process assigns a label ℓ_k to each point $\mathbf{p}_k$ in the LiDAR point cloud:

$$\ell_k = \arg\max_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} P(\ell | \mathbf{f}_k),$$

where $\mathbf{f}_k$ is the feature vector of $\mathbf{p}_k$, and $\mathcal{L}$ is the set of possible labels (e.g., road, lane marking, curb). This semantic information is incorporated into the SLAM graph, allowing the system to distinguish between different road features and improve map interpretation.

Table 3. Comparison of Sensor Contributions to SLAM Accuracy

Sensor Type	Primary Contribution	Impact on SLAM Accuracy
LiDAR	3D point cloud for environmental mapping	High-resolution spatial accuracy
Camera	Texture and color information	Enhances feature matching for loop closure
Radar	Long-range obstacle detection	Improves robustness in adverse weather conditions
Ultrasonic	Fine-grained surface irregularity detection	Adds detail to road surface models
IMU	Motion tracking and orientation data	Reduces drift in pose estimation

The integration of real-time data synchronization, probabilistic sensor fusion, and graph-based SLAM optimization provides a robust framework for achieving accurate localization and mapping. By addressing sensor uncertainties and leveraging semantic information, the system ensures high reliability in dynamic and complex environments.

4. Experimental Evaluation

The proposed hybrid system framework is rigorously evaluated through a comprehensive series of experiments conducted in both simulated and real-world GPS-denied environments. The evaluation focuses on assessing the system's capability to perform road assessment and adaptive maneuvering under challenging conditions. This section provides an overview of the experimental setup, elaborates on the performance metrics used, and discusses the results obtained, emphasizing the improvements achieved through the integration of aerial and ground sensing modules (Li and Xu 2016).

4.1 Experimental Setup

The experimental setup comprises a UAV equipped with a Velodyne VLP-16 LiDAR sensor, a Sony Alpha 7R IV high-resolution camera, and an Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU). The UAV operates in conjunction with a ground vehicle outfitted with a long-range radar, multiple ultrasonic sensors, and a wheel odometry system enhanced by an onboard IMU. The integration of these components forms a comprehensive hybrid sensing platform capable of addressing a wide variety of environmental and operational challenges.

The experiments are conducted in three distinct test environments: urban canyons, dense forests, and tunnels, each characterized by their inherent GPS-denied conditions. Urban canyons present tall buildings and narrow streets, creating significant signal occlusion. Dense forests introduce irregular terrain and heavy vegetation, further complicating localization and mapping tasks. Tunnels pose extreme challenges by completely eliminating GPS signals, necessitating full reliance on sensor-based localization. Data collection spans multiple scenarios, including smooth and uneven road surfaces, the presence of static and dynamic obstacles, and varying weather conditions such as rain, fog, and low light.

The UAV follows pre-programmed waypoint-based navigation patterns to provide aerial coverage, while the ground vehicle autonomously navigates routes optimized based on UAV-provided observations. Both platforms communicate with the centralized processing unit in real-time, ensuring seamless data fusion and environmental modeling.

4.2 Performance Metrics

The system's performance is evaluated using four primary metrics: road feature detection accuracy, mapping precision, maneuvering success rate, and computational efficiency. Each metric is carefully chosen to quantify the key capabilities of the proposed hybrid system.

1. Road Feature Detection Accuracy: This metric measures the system's ability to correctly identify and classify road features, including lane markings, potholes, cracks, and curbs. Accuracy is quantified as the percentage of correctly identified features relative to ground-truth data, considering both precision and recall:

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{True Positives (TP)}}{\text{TP} + \text{False Positives (FP)} + \text{False Negatives (FN)}} \times 100\%.$$

2. Mapping Precision: Mapping precision evaluates the accuracy of the 3D environmental model constructed by the system. It is measured using the Mean Squared Error (MSE) between the generated map and a reference ground-truth map:

$$\text{MSE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |0\mathbf{p}_i^{\text{map}} - \mathbf{p}_i^{\text{truth}}|0^2,$$

where $\mathbf{p}_i^{\text{map}}$ and $\mathbf{p}_i^{\text{truth}}$ are the i-th points in the generated map and ground truth, respectively, and N is the total number of points.

3. Maneuvering Success Rate: This metric reflects the system's ability to navigate through complex environments without collisions or significant deviations from planned trajectories. It is calculated as the ratio of successful navigation attempts to the total number of trials:

$$\text{Maneuvering Success Rate} = \frac{\text{Successful Trials}}{\text{Total Trials}} \times 100\%.$$

4. Computational Efficiency: Computational efficiency assesses the system's ability to process sensor data in real-time. This is evaluated as the average processing time per frame of data, ensuring that the system meets the timing constraints required for real-time operations.

4.3 Results and Discussion

The results of the experimental evaluation demonstrate the significant advantages of the hybrid aerial-vehicular system over traditional vehicle-only approaches. The following subsections provide a detailed analysis of the key findings.

1. Road Feature Detection Accuracy: The hybrid system achieves a road feature detection accuracy of 92.3%, representing a 28% improvement over vehicle-only systems. This increase is attributed to the complementary sensing capabilities of UAVs and ground vehicles, which enhance both the spatial resolution and coverage of the data. The integration of aerial imagery and LiDAR point clouds with ground-level radar and ultrasonic data reduces the rates of false positives and false

negatives, particularly in scenarios involving small or partially occluded features (Bry, Bachrach, and Roy 2012).

2. Mapping Precision: The hybrid system demonstrates a mapping precision improvement of 31%, achieving an MSE of 0.0045 m^2 compared to 0.0065 m^2 for vehicle-only systems. The fusion of high-resolution LiDAR data from UAVs with ground-level observations ensures detailed and accurate 3D representations of the environment. Figure ?? illustrates the comparative mapping results for a sample urban canyon environment.

Table 4. Performance Comparison of Hybrid and Vehicle-Only Systems

Metric	Hybrid System	Vehicle-Only System
Road Feature Detection Accuracy	92.3%	72.1%
Mapping Precision (MSE)	0.0045 m^2	0.0065 m^2
Maneuvering Success Rate	94.6%	70.0%
Average Processing Time per Frame	48 ms	73 ms

3. Maneuvering Success Rate: The hybrid system achieves a maneuvering success rate of 94.6%, which is 35% higher than the 70.0% success rate of vehicle-only systems. This improvement highlights the system's ability to effectively navigate through complex environments, including those with significant obstacles and unpredictable conditions. The system's real-time data fusion capabilities enable adaptive planning and precise control, avoiding collisions and maintaining optimal trajectories.

4. Computational Efficiency: The proposed system processes data at an average rate of 48 ms per frame, compared to 73 ms per frame for vehicle-only systems. This reduction in processing time is achieved through the use of optimized data fusion and SLAM algorithms, ensuring real-time performance even in computationally intensive scenarios.

4.4 Discussion of Key Findings

The experimental evaluation confirms the effectiveness of the hybrid system in addressing the challenges of road assessment and adaptive maneuvering. The integration of UAV and ground vehicle sensing provides a comprehensive view of the environment, enabling accurate detection of road features and precise mapping. The robust data fusion algorithm ensures seamless integration of multi-modal sensor data, while the graph-based SLAM framework minimizes drift and maintains consistency in large-scale maps. These capabilities are particularly valuable in GPS-denied environments, where traditional navigation systems often fail.

Moreover, the system demonstrates scalability and adaptability across diverse operational scenarios. The consistent performance across urban canyons, dense forests, and tunnels highlights its robustness in varying environmental conditions. Future work may focus on further enhancing the system's computational efficiency and extending its application to other domains, such as disaster response and autonomous vehicle coordination. the proposed hybrid system framework significantly outperforms traditional approaches, providing a robust and reliable solution for autonomous road assessment and maneuvering in challenging environments.

5. Conclusion

This study introduces a novel hybrid aerial-vehicular data integration framework designed to enhance road assessment and adaptive maneuvering capabilities in GPS-denied environments. The proposed system capitalizes on the complementary strengths of UAVs and ground vehicles, combining their unique perspectives to achieve superior environmental perception and road anomaly detection. The integration of aerial sensing with ground-based observations enables comprehensive coverage and precision in mapping, which is critical for addressing challenges in dynamic and complex environments.

The framework incorporates a robust data fusion algorithm that effectively integrates multi-modal sensor data from LiDAR, cameras, radar, ultrasonic sensors, and IMUs. The probabilistic approach to sensor fusion addresses inherent uncertainties and ensures accurate and reliable environmental modeling. The optimized SLAM techniques further enhance the system's performance, providing precise localization and consistent mapping even in GPS-denied conditions. These advancements are supported by real-time synchronization mechanisms, which align data streams from heterogeneous sensors, mitigating the effects of temporal and spatial discrepancies.

Experimental evaluations conducted in simulated and real-world scenarios highlight the efficacy of the hybrid system. The results demonstrate significant improvements in road feature recognition accuracy, mapping precision, and maneuvering success rates compared to traditional vehicle-only approaches. The system's ability to adaptively navigate through diverse environments, including urban canyons, dense forests, and tunnels, underscores its robustness and scalability. Furthermore, the reduced processing time per data frame reflects the computational efficiency of the implemented algorithms, ensuring real-time performance.

The findings of this research validate the potential of hybrid aerial-vehicular systems to revolutionize road assessment and autonomous navigation. Beyond the demonstrated applications, the system offers a foundation for further exploration in collaborative multi-UAV and multi-vehicle operations, which can extend its scalability to larger and more complex environments. Additionally, future work will focus on deploying the framework in disaster response scenarios, where rapid and reliable situational awareness is critical, and off-road navigation tasks, which present unique challenges in terms of terrain analysis and obstacle detection.

References

Ahrens, Spencer, Daniel Levine, Gregory Andrews, and Jonathan P How. 2009. Vision-based guidance and control of a hovering vehicle in unknown, gps-denied environments. In *2009 ieee international conference on robotics and automation,* 2643–2648. IEEE.

Bachrach, Abraham, Samuel Prentice, Ruijie He, and Nicholas Roy. 2011. Range–robust autonomous navigation in gps-denied environments. *Journal of Field Robotics* 28 (5): 644–666.

Badshah, Amir, Naveed Islam, Danish Shahzad, Bilal Jan, Haleem Farman, Murad Khan, Gwanggil Jeon, and Awais Ahmad. 2019. Vehicle navigation in gps denied environment for smart cities using vision sensors. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems* 77:101281.

Bhat, Shaman. 2024. Leveraging 5g network capabilities for smart grid communication. *Journal of Electrical Systems* 20 (2): 2272–2283.

Bhat, Shaman, and Ashwin Kavasseri. 2024. Multi-source data integration for navigation in gps-denied autonomous driving environments. *International Journal of Electrical and Electronics Research* 12 (3): 863–869.

Bhat, Shaman M, and Ashwin Venkitaraman. 2024. Hybrid v2x and drone-based system for road condition monitoring. In *2024 3rd international conference on applied artificial intelligence and computing (icaaic),* 1047–1052. IEEE.

Bry, Adam, Abraham Bachrach, and Nicholas Roy. 2012. State estimation for aggressive flight in gps-denied environments using onboard sensing. In *2012 ieee international conference on robotics and automation,* 1–8. IEEE.

Cheng, Cheng, Xiuxian Li, Lihua Xie, and Li Li. 2023. A unmanned aerial vehicle (uav)/unmanned ground vehicle (ugv) dynamic autonomous docking scheme in gps-denied environments. *Drones* 7 (10): 613.

Doitsidis, Lefteris, Stephan Weiss, Alessandro Renzaglia, Markus W Achtelik, Elias Kosmatopoulos, Roland Siegwart, and Davide Scaramuzza. 2012. Optimal surveillance coverage for teams of micro aerial vehicles in gps-denied environments using onboard vision. *Autonomous Robots* 33:173–188.

Farahani, Fatemeh Azizabadi, Saeed Bagheri Shouraki, and Zahra Dastjerdi. 2023. Generating control command for an autonomous vehicle based on environmental information. In *International conference on artificial intelligence and smart vehicles,* 194–204. Springer.

Farahani, Saba A, Jae Yun Lee, Hunjae Kim, and Yoonjin Won. 2024. Predictive machine learning models for lidar sensor reliability in autonomous vehicles. In *International electronic packaging technical conference and exhibition,* vol. 88469, V001T07A001. American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

Li, Xu, and Qimin Xu. 2016. A reliable fusion positioning strategy for land vehicles in gps-denied environments based on low-cost sensors. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics* 64 (4): 3205–3215.

Lu, Hanchen, Hongming Shen, Bailing Tian, Xuewei Zhang, Zhenzhou Yang, and Qun Zong. 2022. Flight in gps-denied environment: autonomous navigation system for micro-aerial vehicle. *Aerospace Science and Technology* 124:107521.

Mebarki, Rafik, Vincenzo Lippiello, and Bruno Siciliano. 2015. Nonlinear visual control of unmanned aerial vehicles in gps-denied environments. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics* 31 (4): 1004–1017.

Xie, Hui, Kin Huat Low, and Zhen He. 2017. Adaptive visual servoing of unmanned aerial vehicles in gps-denied environments. *IEEE/ASME Transactions On Mechatronics* 22 (6): 2554–2563.